HYDE & SWIGART

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557) josh@westcoastlitigation.com Robert L. Hyde, Esq. (SBN: 227183) bob@westcoastlitigation.com HYDE & SWIGART 411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301 San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203) ak@kazlg.com Kazerouni Law Group 2700 North Main Street, Ste. 1050 Santa Ana, CA 92866 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 Attorneys for the Plaintiff

10 JUN 23 AM 11:58

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COLORS

8Y:

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mohammad Sarabi, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

Penn Credit Corporation,

Defendant.

Case Number:

AML

Complaint for Damages and **Injunctive Relief Pursuant To The Telephone Consumer Protection** Act, 47 U.S.C § 227 et seq.

Jury Trial Demanded

ORIGINAL



Introduction

1. Mohammad Sarabi ("Plaintiff") brings this class action for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of Penn Credit Corporation ("Defendant"), in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff seeks up to \$1,500 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class number in the tens of thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendant. Therefore, both elements of diversity jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant occurred within the State of California and the County of San Diego.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen and resident of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).

5. Plaintiff is informed and believed, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation whose primary corporate address is in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was an individual residing within the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 7. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 8. At all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego.
- 9. On multiple occasions over numerous days, all prior to the date this Complaint was filed, but sometime after four years prior to the date this Complaint was filed, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone via an "automatic telephone dialing system," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1).
- 10. During these telephone calls Defendant used "an artificial or prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 11. The telephone number Defendant called was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- 12. These telephone calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).

- 13. Plaintiff did not provide prior express consent to receive calls on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 14. These telephone calls by Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of and all others similarly situated ("the Class").
- 16. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, the Class, consisting of all persons within the United States who received any telephone call from Defendant or its agent to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or with an artificial or prerecorded voice, which call was not made for emergency purposes or with the recipient's prior express consent, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.
- 17. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the tens of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 18. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant during those illegal calls, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 19. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the

right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional											
persons	as	warranted	as	facts	are	learned	in	further	investigation	and	
discover	у.										

- 20. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- 21. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint,
 Defendant made any call (other than a call made for emergency
 purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party)
 to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system or
 an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned
 to a cellular telephone service.
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
 - c. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 22. As a person that received numerous calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- 23. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 24. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 25. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 26. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint 27. as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and 28. multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seg.
- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, 29. Plaintiff and The Classes are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Plaintiff and the The Classes are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief 30. prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE **TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT** 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint 31. as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and 32. multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 33. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and each of the The Classes are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Plaintiff and the The Classes are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief 34. prohibiting such conduct in the future.

//

//

//

//

//

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and The Class members the following relief against Defendant:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b) (1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class and Subclass member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class and Subclass member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b) (3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Date: June 11, 2010

HYDE & SWIGART

By:

Joshua B. Swigart Attorneys for Plaintiff

HYDE & SWIGART San Diego, California

⊚ JS 44	(Rev. 12/0 Case 3:1	0-cv-01327-LAB-J	MAIVILLEGE	MEI	R SHEET 06/23	8/10 ×	RageII	0.21 Pag	je 10 of 11 uired by law, except as provided	
The JS 4 by local the civil	14 civil cover sheet and th rules of court. This form I docket sheet. (SEE INS'	e information contained in approved by the Judicia con TRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE	neither replace nor so ference of the United OF THE FORM.)	applemen States in	September 1974, 13	require	igs of on	of the Clerk of C	Court for the purpose of initiating	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Mohammad Sarabi, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,					DEFENDANTS Penn Credit Corporation					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Harrisburg, Pennsylvania (IN U.S. PLAINTHIF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Hyde & Swigart 411 Camino Del Rio South Suite 301, San Diego, CA 92108						CV:	134/		SMA Core Pour for Plaintiff	
619 II. B	ASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP C (For Diversity Cases C)FPR Only)	INCIPAI	. PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
0 1 1	U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	a Party)	Citi	zen of This State	PTF		incorporated or Pri of Business In This	s State	
□ 2	2 U.S. Government Defendant Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Partie			Citi	zen of Another State	0 2		Incorporated and F of Business In A	Principal Place	
					zen or Subject of a oreign Country		3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		
IV.		(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		FORFEITURE/PENAL	LTY	BANI	RUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
120 130 140 150 151 152 152 152 152 152 152 152 152 152	ONTRACT Insurance Marine Miller Act Molifer Act Recovery of Overpayment Mentage Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Of tockholders' Suits Contract Co	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury Product Liabilit 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liabilit PRISONER PETITIC 510 Motions to Vac Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & C 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	ece	510 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizu of Property 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standar Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relat 730 Labor/Mgmt. Relat 730 Labor/Mgmt. Report & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litiga 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization App 463 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	ds tions rting t tation	□ 820 Copyr □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trader SOCIAL □ 861 HIA (□ 862 Black □ 863 DIWC □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (□ FEDERA □ 870 Taxes or Doc □ 871 IRS— □ 26 US	rawal C 157 TY RIGHTS ights nark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Original 2 I	State Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	B	teinstated or 5	anoth	ferred from er district ify)	6 Multidi Litigatio	on Judgment	
•	CANCE OF ACT	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta		seq.	E (Do not disputs	133	18			
VI	. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of ca	use:					WIEGH VIEG	nly if demanded in complaint:	
VI	I. REQUESTED I COMPLAINT:	N CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.		ON ———	DEMAND \$			TURY DEMAN		
VI	II. RELATED CA IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER		
DA	TE		SIGNATURE OF	ATTOR	EY OF RECORD	_				
	une 22, 2010		2///	W	pr f					
	ROFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # 14903	AMOUNT \$350 61	23/10 13/7 APPLYING IF	P		UDGE_			JUDGE	
								U	PIGINAL	

DUPLICATE

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS014903 Cashier ID: bhartman

Transaction Date: 06/23/2010 Payer Name: HYDE AND SWIGART

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: SARABI V PENN CREDIT CORP

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-001327-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 3955 Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due:

\$350.00

Total Tendered: \$350.00

Change Amt:

\$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.